

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/783,059	RYHANEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dean O Takaoka	2817	

All Participants:

(1) Dean O Takaoka.

(2) Ralph D. Gelling.

Date of Interview: 9 September 2002

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

43, 45

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: With respect to Amendment (D) dated July 16, 2003, the Examiner identified informalities in the specification and claims 43 and 45. The Examiner believed the independent claims overcame the prior art and it was agreed that the Applicant would submit a supplemental amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance..